

Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS

No. 24-BG-0693

IN RE LAWRENCE J. ANDERSON,

2024 DDN 56

A Member of the Bar of the
District of Columbia Court of Appeals

Bar Registration No. 439983

BEFORE: McLeese and Shanker, Associate Judges, and Washington, Senior Judge.

O R D E R

(FILED—October 3, 2024)

On consideration of the certified order from the state of Maryland disbarring respondent from the practice of law by consent; this court's August 13, 2024, order suspending respondent pending disposition of this matter and directing him to show cause why reciprocal discipline should not be imposed; and the statement of Disciplinary Counsel wherein he requests that reinstatement be conditioned upon respondent's reinstatement in Maryland; and it appearing that respondent has not filed a response or his D.C. Bar R. XI, § 14(g) affidavit, it is

ORDERED that Lawrence J. Anderson is hereby disbarred from the practice of law in the District of Columbia with reinstatement conditioned upon his reinstatement in Maryland. *See In re Sibley*, 990 A.2d 483, 487-88 (D.C. 2010) (explaining that there is a rebuttable presumption in favor of imposition of identical discipline and exceptions to this presumption should be rare); *In re Fuller*, 930 A.2d 194, 198 (D.C. 2007) (explaining that a rebuttable presumption of identical reciprocal discipline applies unless one of the exceptions is established); *see also In re Gonzalez*, 318 A.3d 1208, 1219 (D.C. 2024) (where respondent acquiesced, imposing requirement of reinstatement in New Jersey in addition to proof of fitness in the District of Columbia). It is

No. 24-BG-0693

FURTHER ORDERED that, for purposes of reinstatement, Mr. Anderson's disbarment will not begin to run until such time as he files an affidavit that fully complies with the requirements of D.C. Bar R. XI, § 14(g).

PER CURIAM