

Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS

No. 24-BG-0855

In re JOHN C. HEATH,

Respondent.

A Member of the Bar of the
District of Columbia Court of Appeals
Bar Registration No. 486041

DDN: 2024-D116

BEFORE: Beckwith and Howard, Associate Judges, and Thompson, Senior Judge.

ORDER

(FILED—November 27, 2024)

On consideration of the certified order from the state of Utah placing respondent on two years of probation with terms by consent; this court's October 1, 2024, order directing respondent to show cause why reciprocal discipline should not be imposed; and the statement of Disciplinary Counsel; and it appearing that respondent has not filed a response, it is

ORDERED that John C. Heath is hereby placed on a two-year period of probation wherein he must comply with the conditions imposed in Utah. *See In re Sibley*, 990 A.2d 483, 487-88 (D.C. 2010) (explaining that there is a rebuttable presumption in favor of imposition of identical discipline and exceptions to this presumption should be rare); *In re Fuller*, 930 A.2d 194, 198 (D.C. 2007) (stating that the rebuttable presumption of identical reciprocal discipline applies to all cases in which the respondent does not participate).

PER CURIAM