

Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press

No. 08-BG-1320

IN RE: PETER D. FARRIS,
Respondent.

Bar Registration No. 950030

BDN: 358-08

BEFORE: Kramer, Associate Judge, and Belson and Steadman, Senior Judges.

ORDER

(Filed - January 22, 2009)

On consideration of the certified order of the Maryland Court of Appeals indefinitely suspending respondent by consent from the practice of law in that jurisdiction, *see Atty. Grievance Comm'n v. Farris*, Misc. Docket AG No. 13, Case No. 14-C-007520 MS, (Md. Aug. 13, 2008), this court's November 14, 2008, order suspending respondent from the practice of law in this jurisdiction pending further action of the court and directing him to show cause why identical reciprocal discipline should not be imposed, and the statement of Bar Counsel regarding reciprocal discipline, and it appearing that respondent has failed to file either a response to this court's order to show cause or the affidavit required by D.C. Bar R. XI, §14 (g), it is

ORDERED that Peter D. Farris is hereby indefinitely suspended from the practice of law in the District of Columbia with the right to apply for reinstatement after being reinstated in Maryland or after five years, whichever comes first. *See In re Hardwick*, 859 A.2d 1063 (D.C. 2004); *In re Zdravkovich*, 831 A.2d 964, 970 (D.C. 2003); *In re Blades*, 766 A.2d 560 (D.C. 2001). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that for purposes of reinstatement respondent's suspension will not begin to run until such time as he files an affidavit that complies with the requirements of D.C. Bar. R. XI, § 14 (g).

PER CURIAM