

*Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press.*

**DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS**

**No. 09-BG-436**

IN RE: LOUIS PETER TANKO, JR.,  
Respondent.

**Bar Registration No. 434000**

BDN: 178-09

BEFORE: Ruiz and Glickman, Associate Judges, and Terry, Senior Judge.

**ORDER**  
(FILED - JULY 23, 2009)

On consideration of the order of the Court of Appeals of Maryland suspending respondent for a period of sixty days, *see Attorney Grievance Comm'n of Maryland v. Tanko*, 969 A.2d 1010 (Md. 2009), this court's May 18, 2009, order that suspended respondent from the practice of law pending action of the court and directed him to show cause why identical reciprocal discipline should not be imposed, the Statement of Bar Counsel recommending reciprocal and identical sixty-day suspension be imposed, and it appearing that respondent has failed to respond or file the affidavit required by D.C. Bar R. XI, §14(g), it is

ORDERED that Louis Peter Tanko, Jr. is hereby suspended from the practice of law in the District of Columbia for a period of sixty days. *See In re Reback*, 513 A.2d 226 (D.C. 1986) (en banc); *In re Uchendu*, 812 A.2d 933 (D.C. 2002). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that, for purposes of reinstatement, respondent's suspension will begin upon the filing of an affidavit in compliance with D.C. Bar R. XI, § 14 (g).

**PER CURIAM**