

*Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press.*

**DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS**

**No. 10-BG-650**

IN RE: H. BEATTY CHADWICK,  
Respondent.

**Bar Registration No. 126466**

**BDN: 289-09**

BEFORE: Reid, Associate Judge, Terry and King, Senior Judges.

**ORDER**

(FILED - November 4, 2010)

On consideration of the certified order of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, *Office of Disciplinary Counsel v. Chadwick*, 874 A.2d 1142 (Pa. 2005), this court's June 22, 2010, order suspending respondent pending further action of the court and directing him to show cause why identical reciprocal discipline should not be imposed, the statement of Bar Counsel regarding reciprocal discipline, and it appearing that respondent has failed to file either a response to this court's order to show cause or the affidavit required by D.C. Bar R. XI, §14 (g), it is

ORDERED that H. Beatty Chadwick, Esquire, is hereby suspended from the practice of law in the District of Columbia for a period of five years with a fitness requirement. *See In re Neeb*, 964 A.2d 1278 (D.C. 2009)(imposition of a fitness requirement is identical reciprocal discipline for suspensions over one year imposed by the state of Pennsylvania); and *In re Fuller*, 930 A.2d 194, 198 (D.C. 2007) and *In re Willingham*, 900 A.2d 165 (D.C. 2006) (rebuttable presumption of identical reciprocal discipline applies to all cases in which the respondent does not participate). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that for purposes of reinstatement respondent's suspension will not begin to run until such time as he files an affidavit that fully complies with the requirements of D.C. Bar. R. XI, § 14 (g).

**PER CURIAM**