

Notice: This opinion is subject to formal revision before publication in the Atlantic and Maryland Reporters. Users are requested to notify the Clerk of the Court of any formal errors so that corrections may be made before the bound volumes go to press.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURT OF APPEALS

No. 10-BG-729

IN RE: IRA C. HATCH, JR.,
Respondent.

Bar Registration No. 376958

BDN: 208-10

BEFORE: Reid, Associate Judge, and Belson and Terry, Senior Judges.

ORDER

(FILED - October 21, 2010)

On consideration of the certified order of the Supreme Court of Florida disbarring respondent from the practice of law in that jurisdiction, *see The Florida Bar v. Ira Carlton Hatch, Jr.*, No. SC07-1697 (FL. September 27, 2007), this court's July 13, 2010, order suspending respondent pending further action of the court and directing him to show cause why identical reciprocal discipline should not be imposed, and the statement of Bar Counsel regarding reciprocal discipline, and it appearing that respondent has failed to file a response to this court's order to show cause or the affidavit required by D.C. Bar R. XI, §14 (g), it is

ORDERED that Ira C. Hatch, Jr., Esquire is hereby disbarred from the practice of law in the District of Columbia. *See In re Fuller*, 930 A.2d 194, 198 (D.C. 2007) and *In re Willingham*, 900 A.2d 165 (D.C. 2006) (rebuttable presumption of identical reciprocal discipline applies to all cases in which the respondent does not participate, including those involving disbarment); *In re Addams*, 579 A.2d 190 (D.C. 1990) (en banc) (intentional misappropriation nearly automatically warrants disbarment). It is

FURTHER ORDERED that for purposes of reinstatement respondent's suspension will not begin to run until such time as he files an affidavit that fully complies with the requirements of D.C. Bar. R. XI, § 14 (g).

PER CURIAM